

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.


Applicant has cancelled pending Claims 1-19 and substituted therefor new Claims 20-27. New independent Claim 20 corresponds to cancelled dependent Claim 13 rewritten in independent form. Since dependent Claim 13 was indicated as presenting allowable subject matter, new independent Claim 20 is similarly believed to present patentable subject matter.

In addition, new independent Claim 25 is based on new Claim 20, but incorporating the features of original Claim 4. In other words, Claim 25 differs from Claim 20 with respect to the position of one of the countercontacts (arranged on the cover vs. arranged in the cavity). As this distinction is not believed to affect the allowability of the subject matter defined thereby, new Claim 25 is similarly believed to present patentable subject matter.

Accordingly, new Claims 20-27 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 9, 2002

By: 
Christopher M. Brock
Reg. No. 27313

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600